December 18, 2012

FASTDATA 10-1L Release Advisory

This version of FASTDATA Web is scheduled to be installed in production on **December 19, 2012**. Notification of availability will be sent when the system is back online.

A copy of this document should be distributed to all FASTDATA Web users. Any questions or concerns regarding the content of this advisory should be directed through the user's FASTDATA Representative to the FASTDATA Customer Support at 1-850-452-1001, Option 1, and then Selection 5 or fastdata.helpdesk@navy.mil

System Change Requests included in Release 10-1L are as follows:

SCR000057 – Transaction Portal
- The Interface File Manager (IFM) will process all **inbound** files and direct those transactions to the appropriate fund administrator.
- Files shall be redirected or suspended based on the following mutually exclusive rules. The rules are to be evaluated in order until a match is found to one and only one FA.
  - When the STARS Control Group or DoDAAC (FA ID) is present for a record or group of records, and it matches one and only one FA, redirect to the appropriate FA.
  - When the JON in the transaction matches a JON in the FASTDATA dictionary, redirect the transaction to the appropriate FA.
  - When the incoming record is for MPC and the JON did not match, FD shall attempt to use the LOA to redirect the transaction.
  - When the JON in the transaction does not match a JON in the FASTDATA dictionary, redirect the transaction to the appropriate FA based on the JON UIC and fiscal year (first 6 positions of the JON in the transaction).
  - When the transaction contains a Document Number and the transaction cannot be matched with a JON in the FASTDATA dictionary, redirect the transaction to the appropriate FA based on an exact match of the document number of the transaction.
  - When the transaction contains a Document Number and the transaction cannot be matched with a JON in the FASTDATA dictionary and the document number does not match an existing document number, redirect the transaction to the appropriate FA based on the a service code and UIC in a serial range matching the first 6 positions of the document number in the transaction, the serial number of the document, and the document type.
  - When the transaction cannot be matched to a single FA based on the STARS Control Group, DoDAAC, JON or document number, suspend the transaction so that upper level funds managers (MC, CC, and RM) can address the transaction.
- New screen at MC, CC and RM level, Route Unassigned Records to an FA. Upper level will determine to which FA an unmatched document belongs and can direct to that FA for correction. Upper level may also purge documents not belonging to the MC.

SCR000059 – Security Enhancements
- The application security has been modified to include the following areas:
  - Provide the ability for MC, CC, and RM users to have access to FA functions within their command structure/distribution framework.
  - Provide the ability to maintain security groups in a format that matches the menu structure available for the particular role.

- o Arrange the menu items to group them according to function.
- o Split security settings that are currently grouped together so that each can be controlled separately.
- o Arrange the reports menu with the Action Item List as the first report and the remaining reports by functional areas.
- o Restructure the User Security Report and eliminate the .pdf version.

SCR000194 – BSO Push Financial Framework to the FAs
The application has been modified to provide the BSO ability to build and/or update a full financial framework (RC, OPTAR, SITE, Serial, AUTH, and JONs) via interface text file and push down to multiple FAs at one time. This process may establish the entire financial framework from the RC down, the OPTAR down or just adding new JONs. BUMED has a central repository called the Cost Account Dictionary (CAD) that is used to build a financial framework that will pass all of the STARS validations.

SCR000238 – Ability to Save From File Inventory to Text File
- The capability to extract any file registered within File Inventory has been provided.
  - o The security authorization to utilize this new feature will be set by the FASTDATA System Administrator at the MC, CC, RM, FA, or Site levels.
  - o Files will be limited to those available under your security purview.
  - o One or more files of the same file type can be extracted together, with resulting data consolidated into a single download.
  - o Filtering of files will be allowed by a date range, file type, physical file search and/or character string.

SCR000264 – Replicate Documents Across Fiscal Years
- The application has been modified to provide the ability to replicate source or initiation (i.e. not memorandum documents) documents across fiscal years.
  - o The serial range will be validated to ensure it exists, or that a compatible serial range is found in the TO fiscal year.
  - o Only when all JONs (incremented or replacements) reside in the TO fiscal year, will the TO document be created.

SCR000292 – Out Year Promote Funding
- When promoting the financial framework to the out year, the application has been modified to facilitate upper level funds managers and FA users the capability to copy the first quarter or all four quarters authorization funding from the current fiscal year into the out year.
- When replicating the RC and authorizations to the out year, the user's preference will be applied to establish the funding targets in the out year, such as:
  - o User elected "do not roll RC and authorization amounts", the application shall establish each quarter with $0.00 authorized.
  - o User elected "roll 1st quarter amounts", the first quarter RC and authorized amount will be carried forward and quarters 2-4 will be set to $0.00 authorized.
  - o User elected "roll all RC and authorization amounts", the RC and authorization amounts for all four quarters will be carried forward.

SCR000294 – Enhanced End-of-Year Processing Done by BSO
- Provides utilities for the MC (BSO), CC or RM to perform the following actions for selected FA's:
  - o Update document costs to clear any outstanding commitments at the end of the year to simulate the liquidation that occurs in STARS/FL.

- Note for Training issue: When using this option, make sure the recon is up to date. If it's not, the recon will not be cleaned up. The user will need to make the adjustment(s) by using the options in the Recon Process or directly updating the document.
    - o Purge all suspended transactions
    - o Purge all suspended expenditures
    - o Purge all FA transaction suspension rules
    - o Purge all FA expenditure suspension rules
    - o Activate automated job, Release Financial Framework to Site(s)
- De-Activate automated job, Release Outyear Financial Framework to Site(s)Provides new report, Year End Purge Details. Accessed by MC, CC, RM and FA.

SCR000305 – View Reports at the Upper Funds Level
- The application has been modified to allow the MC, CC, RM, and FA users to view the Transaction History Report for a document when drilling down to the lowest (Document) level within the Resource Authorization function.
- The application provides the capability for upper level users (MC/CC/RM) to execute certain FA reports.

SCR000306 – Resource Authorization Audit
The history of the funds distribution received and sent from each level will be provided in the application for an auditable trail of all funds received and distributed. The application has been modified to make visible the User ID, full name, and email address of the person creating or amending a Resource Authorization, Responsibility Center, or Authorization at the MC, CC, RM, FA levels.

SCR000308 – BUMED BSO Setting for Authorization of JONs
- The application has been modified to include the options at the BSO (Major Claimant) level to control if the command will utilize the authorization interface with STARS or not.
- When the BSO level option is set to 'No', the following options will be hidden from the FA user:
    - o The RC and AUTH (related to the 110/010/121)
    - o The AUTH JON field on the authorization

SCR000315 – Performance Issues for Executive Status Report
The following reports have been modified to improve the performance and response time:
- Doc Status Log by Authorization (Site level report)
- Transaction History (FA and Site level report)
- Acct Trans Batch by Date (Site level report)
- Executive Status - Detail (FA level report)
- Executive Status - Summary (FA level report)

SCR000317 – Enhancements to Processes for Creating Automatic Suspension Rules
- The application has been modified to add additional granularity to the establishment of automatic suspension rules. The list includes:
    - o The 'OR' logic (user enters several values).
    - o User may add 'One to Many' values for any variable.
    - o User may create 'equal' or 'not equal' rules for variables.
    - o Pre-validation will verify that a rule is created syntactically correct. When error is detected, application will provide user error message.

      o   The MC will be provided the ability to build a suspension rule that cannot be altered or removed by the FA.
- Due to the addition of operands and the rewording of the suspense rules, users may want to delete existing rules and re-add. The editing of existing rules no longer works the same due to these changes.

SCR000330 – BSO Control over Re-Exporting JONs in Error
- The System Administrator and/or FA will have the capability to set an "Export to STARS" flag for processing JONs returned from STARS in error.
- Setting the "Export to STARS" to 'N' prevents the JON from continuously exporting to STARS until the JON is corrected and set to export again. Conversely, if "Export to STARS" is 'Y', the JON will continue to export until it has been corrected.
- The Action Item List sub-report 'Unacknowledged Job Order Numbers' has been enhanced to identify the value of the "Export to STARS" indicator for job order numbers being reported.

SCR000346 – Add New FA in the Out Year
- The application will provide the option to create a new FA with its "Current Year" set to the Out Year, allowing the proper framework to be created for an FA that will begin in the next fiscal year.

SCR000373 – Release 10-1L Target of Opportunity – The following problems were corrected:

Functional –
- When editing quarterly funding for a subhead line, if the sum was negative for the year overall the error message displayed was misleading. Also, when an error message displays and quarterly totals were corrected, the quarterly values were saved incorrectly.
- Problem: The DD448 amendment process (initiation and source) carries forward receipt, expenditure, and contract information from the basic (first) amendment for an ACRN preventing the amendment from being cancelled. Solution: Retain this information only on the basic (first) amendment for that ACRN.
- Problem: For multi-ACRN documents in Correction After Export (CAE), when selecting which ACRN to correct, the ACRN Obligation Amount included cancelled amendments. This was also an issue with the display of the ACRNs list on the document itself (view/update/amend modes). Solution: Exclude cancelled, initiation, and cancelled initiation amendments from the ACRN Obligation Amount.
- CAE was incorrectly marking transactions for SPS documents at the time they were created which prevents them from flowing to the FA.
- Problem: Reconciling MPC transactions in the Credit Card Cross Reference process required transactions to be reconciled in pairs and with the same MPC DCN. Solution: Allow any number of transactions, citing the same or different DCNs, to be reconciled as long as they net to zero.
- Problem: A non-descript (sql) error message was displayed when attempting to delete an RC with a grantor assigned. Solution: Made RC optional on the grantor record.
- The DD448 display document was incorrectly displaying the "Acctg Sta DODAAD" field and the "Prepared Date". In both instances the printed document was correct.
- Job Order costs and Receipt Final counts and Receipt Partial/Final codes are not being updated/set/reset properly when changing Fuel Type in CAE, even if expenditures have posted. Defuels should be Final Receipts and Fuels should be Partial.
- Problem: When updating NC2276A, NC2276, and FASTDATA Credit Card (MD) amendment documents, the Line Item screen "Amount to Date" field was including the

amount of the current amendment, as well as any updates made prior to the amendment exporting. <u>Solution</u>: "Amount to Date" should exclude the current amendment being updated and should not be updated when the line item amount is updated prior to export. If the line item is being added on the amendment the "Amount to Date" should be zero.

- In certain cases the NC2276A Order Type was not being carried forward and protected on an Amendment for line items accepted on the basic (00) document and Order Type could not be selected for line items being added on the amendment (01)

- <u>Problem:</u> When reducing line item amounts on an initiation amendment for a DD448 document (even though the amount remained positive) prior to export, the user should not have received an error message. Clicking 'Ok' caused the "Amount to Date" to change to the negative number of the original line item amount. Also, when increasing a line item amount, no error message occurs, however, the "Amount to Date" is set to the difference between the original and new amount. <u>Solution</u>: Remove error message and correct "Amount to Date" values.

- <u>Problem</u>: User cannot update ACRN information (JON, EE, CC, NTE, OMB OC, OMB SubObj) on an initiation amendment for an ACRN added on that amendment. <u>Solution</u>: Allow user to Update or Delete an ACRN and/or Line Item until the initiation amendment is changed to source and exports.

- Acceptance of a lower amendment, for an NC2276A document, incorrectly zeroed out the line item amounts and cleared the Direct/Reimbursable indicators on higher amendments not being accepted. Also, creating, updating, or accepting an amendment incorrectly updated the line item modified dates for all amendments where the line item was cited even though the line item was not modified.

- CAE and contract data, 1) changing a Contract Partial/Final indicator should generate a non-exportable transaction to record the change instead of just adding remarks to an existing AO or AD transaction; 2) user should not be allowed to clear a Contract Number or Contract ACRN; 3) Contract Partial/Final indicator changes were updating JON Costs incorrectly.

- When accepting a DD448 or NC2276A amendment with a reimbursable ACRN that only existed on a prior amendment, the AR transaction cited the amendment being accepted instead of the highest amendment on which the ACRN existed. Citing the incorrect amendment prevented the AR transaction from exporting and prevented future amendments.

- Creating an amendment for the SF182, DD282, DD448, NC2275, NC2276, and NC2276A documents should reset the 'Number Final Rcpts/Exps' to zero for all ACRNs affected by the amendment and re-increment accordingly.

- <u>Problem</u>: CAE Actual Cost Display for the DD282 document only includes the ACRN Obligated Amount for the selected Amendment. <u>Solution</u>: When determining the Actual Cost value, the sum of obligation amounts for all amendments shall be considered.

- When an Initial Contract was created, after an expenditure was processed and then backed out (Expenditure amount is zero but has an Expend P/F code), the AO/AD transaction was created as non-exportable when it should have been exportable. This issue was relevant to the NC2276A (Direct), DD448 (Direct), NC2276, DD1149, and DD1348-6 documents.

- When changing a DD448 from Direct to Reimbursable, or vice versa, during the Acceptance process: 1) AR transactions were not always being deleted or created properly; 2) the indicator on Basic (00) documents were not always being changed when an amendment was changed; and 3) the Direct/Reimbursable indicator field on amendments were not being restricted properly when the Basic (00) acceptance had exported.

- In certain circumstances a reimbursable ACRN on an NC2276A document was able to be contracted via the New Contract screen. Also within this screen, for a DD448 document the

- outstanding amount incorrectly showed the amendment (01) amount even though it had not been accepted.
- Obligation updates in CAE were not always properly updating Expenditure and Receipt Partial/Final Indicators on the ACRN table, resetting the Final Receipt/Expenditure Counts to '0', or resetting the Document Status Table Status Code when final receipts or expenditures existed.
- When a change was made to the Receipts Partial/Final indicator in CAE for an ACRN on a Credit Card document that had been reduced to zero by amendments, the resulting transaction amount was incorrect. The amount should have been zero.
- Problem: When an NC2275 was created and accepted for a specified amount (00), then amended (01) and accepted reducing the amount to zero, then user attempts to amended (02) to increase the amount, an inappropriate error message was received. Solution: Allow user to amendment to increase funding.
- An inappropriate error message was received when a user attempted to change the Receipt Partial/Final indicator in CAE for a Fuel Chit
- When changing the Receipt Partial/Final indicator on an NC2276 in CAE, the resulting AO transaction created cited the incorrect amendment causing the transaction to not export.
- In CAE, when the Expenditure Partial/Final indicator was changed along with the Expenditure Quantity, a non-exportable 'EV' transaction was created instead of an exportable 'AO' transaction.
- In the Cost Redistribution (CR) process at the FA and Site, when performing an Update to add transactions, the Original amount was being rounded up or down. Also, if all transactions created via the NEW portion of the module were deleted, then additional transactions added via the Update process, the amount allowed to be redistributed changed to a rounded amount. No rounding should occur.
- Problem: Import logic for expenditures was causing an out of range error when the FA's Current Year was actually a prior year and the expenditure was for that prior year. Solution (as recommended by the CCB): Use the FY (pos 6) of the JON cited on the incoming expenditure record out of range validation.
- When multiple SF182s were cited as the TO side of a Site NEW CR, an erroneous 'AO' (540) was generated for one and the ACRN obligation amount was erroneously updated for the other.
- When creating a CR at the FA, the JON for a TO DCN/ACRN should be selected from its ACRN record when the DCN/ACRN exists at the site. In some cases, the original JON was selected instead of the new JON, causing issues when the JON was changed in CAE and then the DCN/ACRN was later cited as a TO on an FA CR.
- When a CR had not been acknowledged and additional TO transactions were being added to an existing CR, the Site UPDATE CR process was deleting associated expenditure transactions when it should not.
- Users should not be allowed to update the Job Order Number on Travel Orders received from DTS.
- Due to new Personal Identifiable Information (PII) regulations, printing any digits of an SSN on a document was considered 'spillage'. The SSN field shall now contain asterisks (*)
- User created a new FA then, when accessing the Automated Jobs screen, received an Oracle error.
- The AIL sub report 'Documents with Invalid EE/OOC Combinations' should ignore MPC documents with an OOC of '250', and with a status of Cancelled, Initiation, Cancelled Initiation, and Documents No Longer Used.
- The RC Export process was not picking up certain RC changes, being made for the 4th Quarter of the fiscal year about to be closed out, during the grey period at the beginning of October.

- The Authorization Export process was not picking up certain Auth changes, being made for the 4th Quarter of the fiscal year about to be closed out, during the grey period at the beginning of October.
- The total columns for the Matched Job Order by JON report did not line up properly.
- The NEW Document, Source and Memo, DD448, "Select Document Order Type" screen was not setting a default value.
- For PAA type 3, the DD1155 was printing the last 6 positions of the contract number instead of the requisition DCN.
- The status of manually inserted B1 transactions was not being set correctly to allow the File Extract process to select these transactions.
- Milstrip DCNs were being generated when the Active Year was the Outyear instead of requiring the user to key-enter the Milstrip DCN.

Technical –

NOTE: The following technical patches have been applied to the current production version 10-1K because of their emergency status. They are included here for documentation only.

- <u>Problem</u>: MPC transactions were incorrectly suspending. <u>Solution</u>: Default OOC based on Expense Element.
- Certain users were unable to successfully execute the 'Job Order without standard CC/SCC' AIL sub report.
- User accounts being unlocked by the SA were being reset to a locked status too quickly.
- Valid EE/OOC combinations N/233 and 9/310, when entered in CAE, received an error message.
- When Civilian Labor documents were being updated to add another ACRN, the amounts for all the existing ACRNs were being zeroed out, although the new ACRN was saved accurately.
- <u>Problem</u>: When updating an RC the effective date was defaulting to the last date the RC was updated. If the date was not changed, the funds being transferred the incorrect effective date. <u>Solution</u>: Default the Effective Date to the current system date, except during the gray period in October when the default shall be 09/30 of the current year.
- Because STARS has not implemented the revised EE/OOC crosswalk, FASTDATA was required to change their default for incoming MPC transactions when the EE was 'Q' to OOC '250' to eliminate STARS/FASTDATA mismatches.

End of System Change Requests